### Research Article



### Prevalence and Associated Factors with Bovine Viral Diarrhoea Virus Antibodies in the Bulk Tank Milk of Small Scale Dairy Herds in Central Myanmar

YI MON AYE<sup>1</sup>, MIN AUNG<sup>2\*</sup>, WIN OHNMAR KYAW<sup>2</sup>, TINT NAING<sup>3</sup>, SAW PO PO<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Livestock Breeding and Veterinary Department, Kyaukse Township, Mandalay Region, Myanmar; <sup>2</sup>Departments of Medicine, University of Veterinary Science, Yezin, Nay Pyi Taw, 15013, Myanmar; <sup>3</sup>Crown Veterinary and Medical Resources, Yangon, Myanmar.

Abstract | This study was designed to determine the prevalence and to evaluate associated factors with bovine viral diarrhoea virus (BVDV) antibodies in the bulk tank milk of small scale dairy herds in central Myanmar. A cross sectional study was conducted from September to December in 2016 in four townships in central Myanmar. Bulk tank milk (BTM) samples were collected from 381 small scale dairy herds in the study area and analyzed for the presence of BVDV total antibody using commercial ELISA test kits. Several factors such as location of herd, herd size, number of lactating cows, calves and pregnant cows in the herd, and breeding practice were categorized and Pearson Chisquare test was used to determine the association between the factors and BVDV antibodies positivity. The prevalence of BVDV antibodies in the BTM of small scale dairy herds was 2.10%. The location of the herd, herd size, number of lactating cows, calves and pregnant cows in the herd were found to be significantly associated with the prevalence of BVDV antibodies, while breeding practice of the herd was not associated. Meikhtila region showed the highest prevalence (9.62%) of BVDV antibodies among studied locations. Specifically, in Meikhtila region, the dairy herd with more than 5 heads of cattle with the use of bull as the breeding practice had the greater potential of prevalence (25.00%) in comparison to that of other herd sizes and locations. Taken together, the prevalence of BVDV antibodies in the BTM of small scale dairy herds in central Myanmar was low it was influenced by factors such as location, herd size, number of lactating cows, calves and pregnant cows in the herd.

Keywords | Bovine viral diarrhea virus antibodies, Bulk tank milk, Prevalence, Small scale dairy herds, Myanmar

Editor | Kuldeep Dhama, Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Uttar Pradesh, India. Received | June 07, 2017; Accepted | July 10, 2017; Published | August 02, 2017

\*Correspondence | Dr Min Aung, Department of Medicine, University of Veterinary Science, Nay Pyi Taw, Myanmar; Email: minaung.uvs@gmail.com Citation | Aye YM, Aung M, Kyaw WO, Naing T, Po SP (2017). Prevalence and associated factors with bovine viral diarrhoea virus antibodies in the bulk tank milk of small scale dairy herds in central Myanmar. Adv. Anim. Vet. Sci. 5(8): 316-323.

**DOI** | http://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.aavs/2017/5.8.316.323

ISSN (Online) | 2307-8316; ISSN (Print) | 2309-3331

Copyright © 2017 Aye et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### INTRODUCTION

Bovine viral diarrhoea (BVD), a widespread Pestivirus infection, causes serious clinical disease in cattle (Carlsson, 1991). BVD infections impact dairy industry directly through losses in production, and indirectly through market-related losses (Gunn et al., 2005). The economic losses are mainly associated with abortion, still births, congenital abnormalities, increased neonatal mortality, prenatal and postnatal growth retardation and per-

sistently infected (PI) calves (Suddharshana et al., 1999). Moreover, the disease causes reduced milk production, poor reproductive performance, delayed growth, increased susceptibility to other diseases, early culling and increased mortality among stock (Houe, 2003).

An epidemiological study examining the effects of BVDV infection on the general health of cattle herds showed that BVDV associated with increased risk of clinical mastitis, retained placenta, oestrus stimulating treatments and

longer calving intervals (Niskanen et al., 1995). BVDV is thought to be present in most cattle-raising countries and 60-90% of adult animals are seropositive (Sakhaee et al., 2009). The prevalence of BVDV infection differs between different countries and even between different provinces within a single country; this may be related to the differences in management, environmental variation, size of herds, and existence of persistently infected (PI) animals in these herds (Houe, 1999; Hemmatzadeh et al., 2001). Several factors may contribute to cause difference of seroprevalence of BVDV such as immuno-suppressive stress condition, dehydration, early weaning, control of environmental factors, low or high temperatures, and set up of biosecurity (Luzzago et al., 2010; Brodersen, 2010). Risk factor analysis suggested that routine vaccination for BVD, suspicion of BVD, housing of pregnant cows with calves, total number of cows and the proportion of cows were associated with increased BVDV antibodies in bulk milk (Humphry et al., 2012). Moreover, the highest prevalence was recorded in cows, followed by calves and heifers (Bedekovic et al., 2013) and the probability of any individual animal being infected or transmitting disease to susceptible cattle is strongly influenced by factors such as age, production type, and on-farm management practices (Carslake et al., 2011). In Myanmar, it is still striving to develop the livestock production sectors, including dairy production, in which small scale dairy farming is playing a vital role. In the development of dairy production, the infectious diseases are one of the main determinants that can cause negative impacts on dairy production system. Thus, determination of prevalence and prevention of infectious diseases in dairy herds is very crucial. Among infectious diseases, BVDV is observed in most cattle-raising countries. Subsequently, many reports can be found in the literature regarding the prevalence of BVDV infection in countries around the world. However, there is no scientific report on the prevalence of BVDV infection in dairy herds in Myanmar. Prevalence of BVDV can be determined by identifying respective antibody in the serum or the milk. Antibody concentrations in BTM are indicative of the prevalence of immune cows in the milking herd (Beaudeau et al., 2001; Eiras et al., 2012). Testing bulk tank milk (BTM) can be a valuable, efficient and cost-effective method for determining herd immunity. Determining a threshold for the detection of herds containing active BVD infection by testing bulk milk is a novel use for an antibody ELISA kit and provides more practical, relevant test results (Lanyon et al., 2014). Thus, the study aimed to determine the prevalence and associated factors with BVDV antibodies in the bulk tank milk of small scale dairy herds in central Myanmar.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

STUDY AREA AND TARGET DAIRY HERDS
This study was conducted in central Myanmar, covering

Patheingyi, Kyaukse, Tada-U and Meikhtila townships. The target destination was small scale dairy herds of crossbred Holstein Friesian dairy cows. A cross sectional study was conducted from September to December, 2016 to collect the bulk tank milk (BTM) samples.

## SAMPLE SIZE ESTIMATION AND BTM SAMPLES COLLECTION

For the estimation of sample size, the formula of Daniel (1999) was used, which was as followed;

$$n = \frac{Z^2 \times p \times (1-p)}{d^2}$$

Where,

n = Sample size

Z = Confidence level

p = Prevalence

d = Confidence interval

For calculation, 50% prevalence and 95% confident level were selected. The total required sample was determined as 384 samples; however we used only 381 samples in this study because 3 samples were failure in the process of laboratory analysis. Prior to collection of BTM, the milk container tubes were autoclaved and about 10ml of BTM was collected into 15 ml centrifuge tubes from each small scale dairy herd. The samples were stored in a chiller with the ice packs and brought to the veterinary diagnostic laboratory (Mandalay) for further analysis.

## SAMPLE COLLECTION AND COLLECTION OF HERD DATA

The bulk tank milk (BTM) samples were collected from the target herds from September to December in 2016. At the time of sample collection, a questionnaire interview was conducted, covering Herd location (township), Herd size (>5 heads or  $\leq$ 5 heads), Number of lactating cows in the herd (>3 or  $\leq$ 3), number of calves in the herd (>2 or  $\leq$ 2), Number of pregnant cows in the herd (>2 or  $\leq$ 2) and Breeding practice (Artificial Insemination or natural mating with bulls).

#### **DETECTION OF BVDV ANTIBODY WITH ELISA**

Prior to the BVDV antibody test, the BTM samples were centrifuged for 15 minutes at 2000g to separate the skimmed milk from the fat layer. The antibodies could be detected in the skimmed milk, which were under the fat layer. After that, the ELISA procedure to detect the antibody against BVDV was carefully conducted according to the protocol provided by the manufacturer, IDEXX Laboratories.

# CALCULATION AND INTERPRETATION OF SAMPLE TO POSITIVE RATIO

The presence and absence of BVDV antibodies in the sample is determined by sample to positive ratio (S/P ratio) for

each sample. The formula [S/P = (Sample-Negative control)/(Positive control-Negative control)] was used to calculate the S/P ratio. The validity criteria for negative control was ≤0.250 and positive control-negative control was ≥ 0.150. If the value of S/P ratio was less than 0.20, it was determined as negative and the S/P ratio greater than or equal 0.20 was determined as positive.

#### STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

After analysis of the samples, the results were entered and validated in Microsoft Excel program. After that the data was imported into SPSS (version 16) software for statistical analysis. Factors associated with BVDV were analysed by using Pearson Chi-square test and P<0.05 is considered as the significant level.

#### **RESULTS**

The prevalence and associated factors with BVDV antibodies in the BTM of small scale dairy herds are presented in Table 1. The factors are categorized as the location, herd size, number of lactating cows, calves and pregnant cows and breeding practice. Eight samples were found positive for BVDV antibody out of the total 381 samples indicated that the prevalence of BVDV antibodies in the BTM of small scale dairy herds was 2.10%. The factors such as location of herds, herd size, number of lactating cows, calves and pregnant cows were significantly associated (P<0.05) with the prevalence of BVDV antibodies in the BTM, while breeding practice was not associated with it. Meikhtila region had the highest potential of prevalence (9.62%) of BVDV antibodies among the locations. The dairy herds with greater than 5 cattle (5.10%) or 3 lactating cows (6.25%) or 2 calves (5.49%) or 2 pregnant cows (10.00%) also had higher potential of prevalence of BVDV antibodies in BTM in comparison to less than or equal 5 cattle (1.06%) or 3 lactating cows (1.00%) or 2 calves (0.78%) or 2 pregnant cows (1.11%), respectively.

The prevalence of BVDV antibodies in BTM based on number of lactating cows, calves, herd size and locations are shown in Table 2 and 3, respectively. According to the results, the combination of herd size (>5), number of lactating cows (>3) or calves (>2), and locations was related (P<0.05) with the prevalence of BVDV antibodies in the BTM. The small scale dairy herds (herd size>5)in Meikhtila region with number of lactating cows(>3) or calves (>2) had the highest potential of prevalence (26.67% and 23.53%, respectively) of BVDV antibodies in BTM in comparison to other regions and herd size and number of lactating cows or calves.

The prevalence of BVDV antibodies in BTM based on

number of pregnant cows, herd size and locations are described in Table 4. The combinations of herd size, number of pregnant cows and locations were not associated (P>0.05) with the prevalence of BVDV antibodies in BTM, while they were as an individual factor significantly associated (P<0.05) with the prevalence of BVDV antibodies in the BTM (Table 1).

The prevalence of BVDV antibodies in BTM based on breeding practices, herd size and locations are defined in Table 5. No significant relationship (P>0.05) between breeding practice and prevalence of BVDV antibodies was observed (Table 1), however the combination of herd size (>5), breeding practice (Bull) and locations were related (P<0.05) with the prevalence of BVDV antibodies in BTM. The small scale dairy herd (herd size>5) in Meikhtila region with using of bull as the breeding practice had the greater potential of prevalence (25.00%).

#### **DISCUSSION**

The prevalence of BVDV antibodies in the BTM of small scale dairy herds in central Myanmar was 2.10% (8/381), which is lower than the 73% prevalence observed in BTM of dairy herds in northern and north-eastern Thailand (Kampa et al., 2004) and practically similar to the 3.1% prevalence detected in the BTM of dairy herds in Finland (Niskanen, 1993). On the other hand, seroprevalence of BVDV antibodies in the neighbouring countries are 24% in Qinghai, China (Gong et al., 2014), 43% in Western China (Zhong et al., 2011), 33.2% in Selangor, Malaysia (Daves et al., 2016), 16.85% in Pakistan (Gohar et al., 2013) and 17.1% in India (Behera et al., 2011). Thus, it could be assumed that the prevalence of BVDV antibodies in Myanmar is less than that of those countries. The reason for this finding might be due to lack of BVDVvaccine usage in Myanmar. Durham and Hassard (1990) reported that the prevalence of seropositive cattle was influenced by the use of vaccine.

Cautions should be taken in comparing prevalence data of BTM and serological samples. There seems to be divergent views. Niskanen reported that the level of antibodies against BVDV in bulk milk relates to the prevalence of BVDV seropositive lactating cows in the dairy herd (Niskanen, 1993). However, two other researchers (Houe, 1994; Beaudeau et al., 2001) reported that the antibody titers from bulk milk are loosely correlated with true disease status or with the number of antibody positive animals. Antibody levels in bulk milk can only contribute incomplete evidence of herd status because bulk milk scores give an uncontrolled, pooled summary of the antibody levels of the contributing animals. If antibody positive in BTM are not detected, the herd is classified as BVD negative, but hi-





Table 1: Prevalence and associated factors with BVDV antibodies in BTM of small scale dairy herds

| Categories            | No. of farms | No. of positive farm (%) | Chi square value  |         |
|-----------------------|--------------|--------------------------|-------------------|---------|
| - C                   |              |                          | Cili square value | 1 value |
| Overall*              | 381          | 8 (2.10%)                | -                 | -       |
| Location of herds     |              |                          |                   |         |
| Patheingyi            | 48           | 0 (0.00%)                | 18.394            | 0.0004  |
| Kyaukse               | 20           | 1 (5.00%)                |                   |         |
| Tada-U                | 261          | 2 (0.77%)                |                   |         |
| Meikhtila             | 52           | 5 (9.62%)                |                   |         |
| Herd size             |              |                          |                   |         |
| >5                    | 98           | 5 (5.10%)                | 5.785             | 0.0162  |
| <b>≤</b> 5            | 283          | 3 (1.06%)                |                   |         |
| No. of lactating cows |              |                          |                   |         |
| >3                    | 80           | 5 (6.25%)                | 8.485             | 0.0036  |
| ≤3                    | 301          | 3 (1.00%)                |                   |         |
| No. of calves         |              |                          |                   |         |
| >2                    | 91           | 5 (5.49%)                | 7.584             | 0.0059  |
| ≤2                    | 257          | 2 (0.78%)                |                   |         |
| No. of pregnant cows  |              |                          |                   |         |
| >2                    | 20           | 2 (10.00%)               | 7.256             | 0.0070  |
| ≤2                    | 180          | 2 (1.11%)                |                   |         |
| Breeding practice     |              |                          |                   |         |
| AI                    | 53           | 1 (1.89%)                | 0.014             | 0.9070  |
| Bull                  | 328          | 7 (2.13%)                |                   |         |

BVDV: bovine viral diarrhea virus, BTM: bulk tank milk, AI: artificial insemination

Table 2: Prevalence of BVDV antibodies in BTM based on number of lactating cows, herd size and locations

| Herd size                         | No. of Lactating cows | Location   | No. of farm | No. of positive farm (%) | Chi square<br>value | P value |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| >5                                | >3                    | Patheingyi | 6           | 0 (0.00%)                | 12.825              | 0.005   |
|                                   |                       | Kyaukse    | 8           | 1 (12.50%)               |                     |         |
|                                   |                       | Tada-U     | 42          | 0 (0.00%)                |                     |         |
|                                   |                       | Meikhtila  | 15          | 4 (26.67%)               |                     |         |
|                                   | ≤3                    | Patheingyi | 5           | 0 (0.00%)                | -                   | -       |
|                                   |                       | Kyaukse    | 4           | 0 (0.00%)                |                     |         |
|                                   |                       | Tada-U     | 16          | 0 (0.00%)                |                     |         |
|                                   |                       | Meikhtila  | 2           | 0 (0.00%)                |                     |         |
| ≤5                                | ≤5 >3                 | Patheingyi | 1           | 0 (0.00%)                | -<br> <br>          | -       |
|                                   |                       | Kyaukse    | 1           | 0 (0.00%)                |                     |         |
|                                   |                       | Tada-U     | 6           | 0 (0.00%)                |                     |         |
|                                   |                       | Meikhtila  | 1           | 0 (0.00%)                |                     |         |
|                                   | ≤3                    | Patheingyi | 36          | 0 (0.00%)                | 1.558               | 0.669   |
| BVDV: hovine viral diarrhea virus |                       | Kyaukse    | 7           | 0 (0.00%)                |                     |         |
|                                   |                       | Tada-U     | 197         | 2 (0.67%)                |                     |         |
|                                   | Meikhtila             | 34         | 1 (2.94%)   |                          |                     |         |

BVDV: bovine viral diarrhea virus, BTM: bulk tank milk



<sup>\*</sup> The 15 out of 381 samples showed the negative results; however the titre of BVDV antibodies in BTM were slightly high.



Table 3: Prevalence of BVDV antibodies in BTM based on number of calves, herd size and locations

| Herd size   | No. of calves | Location   | No. of farm | No. of positive farm (%) | Chi square<br>value | P value |
|-------------|---------------|------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| >5          | >2            | Patheingyi | 9           | 0 (0.00%)                | 13.267              | 0.004   |
|             |               | Kyaukse    | 9           | 1 (11.11%)               |                     |         |
|             |               | Tada-U     | 48          | 0 (0.00%)                |                     |         |
|             |               | Meikhtila  | 17          | 4 (23.53%)               |                     |         |
|             | ≤2            | Patheingyi | 2           | 0 (0.00%)                |                     | -       |
|             |               | Kyaukse    | 3           | 0 (0.00%)                |                     |         |
|             |               | Tada-U     | 9           | 0 (0.00%)                |                     |         |
|             |               | Meikhtila  | -           | -                        |                     |         |
| ≤5          | >2            | Patheingyi | 2           | 0 (0.00%)                |                     | -       |
|             |               | Kyaukse    | -           | -                        |                     |         |
|             |               | Tada-U     | 3           | 0 (0.00%)                |                     |         |
|             |               | Meikhtila  | 3           | 0 (0.00%)                |                     |         |
|             | ≤2            | Patheingyi | 33          | 0 (0.00%)                | 2.652               | 0.448   |
|             |               | Kyaukse    | 8           | 0 (0.00%)                |                     |         |
|             |               | Tada-U     | 171         | 1 (0.58%)                |                     |         |
| DIVIDIV 1 · |               | Meikhtila  | 31          | 1 (3.23%)                |                     |         |

BVDV: bovine viral diarrhea virus, BTM: bulk tank milk

Table 4: Prevalence of BVDV antibodies in BTM based on number of pregnant cows, herd size and locations

| Herd size | No. of pregnant cows | Location   | No. of farm | No. of positive farm (%) | Chi square<br>value | P value |
|-----------|----------------------|------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| >5 >2     | >2                   | Patheingyi | 2           | 0 (0.00%)                | 3.754               | 0.289   |
|           |                      | Kyaukse    | 8           | 1 (12.50%)               |                     |         |
|           |                      | Tada-U     | 5           | 0 (0.00%)                |                     |         |
|           |                      | Meikhtila  | 2           | 1 (50.00%)               |                     |         |
|           | ≤2                   | Patheingyi | 6           | 0 (0.00%)                | 5.085               | 0.166   |
|           |                      | Kyaukse    | 4           | 0 (0.00%)                |                     |         |
|           |                      | Tada-U     | 40          | 0 (0.00%)                |                     |         |
|           |                      | Meikhtila  | 10          | 1 (10.00%)               |                     |         |
| ≤5        | ≤5 >2                | Patheingyi | -           | -                        | -                   | -       |
|           |                      | Kyaukse    | -           | -                        |                     |         |
|           |                      | Tada-U     | 3           | 0 (0.00%)                |                     |         |
|           |                      | Meikhtila  | -           | -                        |                     |         |
|           | ≤2                   | Patheingyi | 7           | 0 (0.00%)                | 0.252               | 0.969   |
|           |                      | Kyaukse    | 5           | 0 (0.00%)                |                     |         |
|           |                      | Tada-U     | 96          | 1 (1.04%)                |                     |         |
|           |                      | Meikhtila  | 12          | 0 (0.00%)                |                     |         |

BVDV: bovine viral diarrhea virus, BTM: bulk tank milk

gh bulk milk titers should be taken into consideration (Houe, 1999). In this study, 15 out of 381 samples showed the negative results; however the titre of BVDV antibodies in BTM were slightly high. The S/P ratio of those samples ranged from 0.101 to 0.190, whereas the S/P ratio ≥0.20 was determined as the positive. These results indicated that although BVDV antibodies in BTM were negative, the

antibodies in individual animals might be positive. Thus, the authors recommend seroprevalence studies of BVDV in the dairy herds of Myanmar.

The reasons for the highest prevalence in Meikhtila region among the locations might be due to different management systems, environmental condition and cattle move

Table 5: Prevalence of BVDV antibodies in BTM based on breeding practices, herd size and locations

| Herd size | Breeding practice | Location   | No. of farm | No. of positive farm (%) | Chi square<br>value | P value |
|-----------|-------------------|------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| >5 AI     | AI                | Patheingyi | 0           | 0 (0.00%)                | 0.110               | 0.740   |
|           |                   | Kyaukse    | 10          | 1 (10.00%)               |                     |         |
|           |                   | Tada-U     | 0           | 0 (0.00%)                |                     |         |
|           |                   | Meikhtila  | 1           | 0 (0.00%)                |                     |         |
|           | Bull              | Patheingyi | 11          | 0 (0.00%)                | 18.605              | 0.001   |
|           |                   | Kyaukse    | 2           | 0 (0.00%)                |                     |         |
|           |                   | Tada-U     | 58          | 0 (0.00%)                |                     |         |
|           |                   | Meikhtila  | 16          | 4 (25.00%)               |                     |         |
| ≤5        | AI                | Patheingyi | -           | -                        | -<br> <br>          | -       |
|           |                   | Kyaukse    | 6           | 0 (0.00%)                |                     |         |
|           |                   | Tada-U     | 36          | 0 (0.00%)                |                     |         |
|           |                   | Meikhtila  | -           | -                        |                     |         |
|           | Bull              | Patheingyi | 37          | 0 (0.00%)                | 1.235               | 0.745   |
|           |                   | Kyaukse    | 2           | 0 (0.00%)                |                     |         |
|           |                   | Tada-U     | 167         | 2 (1.20%)                |                     |         |
|           | Meikhtila         | 35         | 1 (2.86%)   |                          |                     |         |

BVDV: bovine viral diarrhea virus, BTM: bulk tank milk, AI: artificial insemination

ment. Meikhtila region has live cattle markets, resulting in increased exposure of infection to new animals. Identifying cattle movements that are associated with the greatest risk of infectious disease transmission has the important implications for refining future epidemiological models and disease control strategies (Gates et al., 2014). Trade is known as one of the epidemiological determinants for the introduction and spread of BVDV in cattle herds (Stahl and Alenius, 2012; Houe 1999; Hemmatzadeh et al., 2001) reported that the prevalence of BVDV infection differs between different countries and different provinces within a country; this may be related to the differences in management, environmental variation, size of herds, and existence of persistently infected (PI) animals in these herds.

Another possible explanation for higher prevalence in Meikhtila region is due to the use of common milking man for most of the small scale dairy herds. The milking men are generally used for the collection of milk from small scale dairy herds in Myanmar. According to the personal communication data from this study, only a very few milking men were used to collect milk in Meikhtila region, while more milking men were used in other regions. (Niskanen and Lindberg 2003; Almeida et al., 2013) reported that the significant association between BVDV positivity and lactation might be due to the higher risk of getting infection from the workers who milk the cows and the virus can easily be transmitted through fomites such as contaminated cloth or equipment which are used during the milking process.

In this study, the dairy herd with herd size (>5) or number

of lactating cows (>3) or number of calves (>2) or number of pregnancy cows (>2) had the highest potential for the prevalence of BVDV antibodies in the BTM. More specifically, large herd size and number of lactating cows and calves in Meikhtila had the highest association with BVDV prevalence in comparison to other various herd conditions and locations. These findings are consistent with the previous published reports (Talafha et al., 2009; Bedekovic et al., 2013; Kulangara et al., 2015). The influence of herd size, mean distance to neighbouring herds, and sharing of common pasture are proven as important risks factors in BVD epidemiology (Alban et al., 2001).

Although, in general, the breeding practices and prevalence of BVDV antibodies were found to be not associated in this study, the highest prevalence of BVDV antibodies was observed in the larger dairy herds (herd size>5) of Meikhtila region, in which bull was used as the breeding practice. This finding agrees with the report of Marques et al. (2016), high animal density, weaning age ≤60 days, exchange of animals,calf mortality >5% and use of natural breeding and artificial insemination were identified by the author as the associated risk factors of BVDV infection.

#### CONCLUSION

The prevalence of BVDV antibodies in the BTM of small scale dairy herds in the central Myanmar was low. The prevalence was influenced by the factors such as location of the head, herd size, number of lactating cows, calves and pregnant cows in the herd. Moreover, the dairy herds (herd

OPEN DACCESS

Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences size>5) in Meikhtila region with the increased number 26:323-333.

of lactating cows (>3) or calves (2>) or breeding practice (Bull) had the highest prevalence of BVDV antibodies in the BTM of the small scale dairy herds in central Myanmar. The authors recommend to determine the seroprevalence of BVDV in the dairy herds of Myanmar.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank all laboratory staffs from the department of medicine, University of Veterinary Science and Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory, Mandalay for their helps in the process of detection of BVDV antibodies in the bulk tank milk.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

There is no conflict of interest.

#### AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION

YMA mainly carried out sample collection and analysis. MA, WOMK, TN and SPP designed the experiment. MA performed data analysis and interpretation. YMA drafted the manuscript and MA, WOMK, TN and SPP completed the critical revision of the article. All authors read and approved the final version of manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- ·Alban L, Stryhn H, Kjeldsen AM, Ersboll AK, Skjoth F, Christensen J, Bitsch V, Chriel M, Strogerr U (2001). Estimating transfer of bovine viral diarhoea virus in Danish cattle by use of register data. Prev. Vet. Med. 52:133-146. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-5877(01)00241-0
- ·Almeida LL, Miranda IC, Hein HE, Neto WS, Costa EF, Marks FS, Rodenbusch CR, Canal CW, Corbellini LG (2013). Herd-level risk factors for bovine viral diarrhea virus infection in dairy herds from Southern Brazil. Res. Vet. Sci. 95(3):901-907. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2013.08.009
- Beaudeau F, Assie S, Seegers H, Belloc C, Sellal E, Joly A (2001). Assessing the within-herd prevalence of cows antibodypositive to bovine viral diarrhoea virus with a blocking ELISA on bulk tank milk. Vet. Rec. 149:236-240. https:// doi.org/10.1136/vr.149.8.236
- · Bedekovic T, Lemo N, Ljubo Barbic L, Cvetnic Z, Lojkic1 I, Miroslav Benic M, Cac Z, Lojkic M, Madic J (2013). Influence of category, herd size, grazing and management on epidemiology of bovineviral diarrhoea in dairy herds. Acta. Vet. Bruno. 82:125-130. https://doi.org/10.2754/ avb201382020125
- ·Behera SP, Mishra N, Vilcek S, Rajukumar K, Nema RK, Prakash A, Kalaiyarasu S, Dubey SC (2011). Genetic and antigenic characterization of bovine viral diarrhoea virus type 2 isolated from cattle in India. Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. 34:189-196. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. Infect. Dise. cimid.2010.11.002
- Brodersen BW (2010). Bovine respiratory syncytial virus. Veterinary Clinics of North America, Food Anim. Practice.

- •Carlsson U (1991). Border disease in sheep caused by transmission of virus from cattle persistently infected with bovine virus diarrhoea virus. Vet. Rec. 128:145-147. https:// doi.org/10.1136/vr.128.7.145
- ·Carslake D, Grant W, Green LE, Cave J, Greaves J, Keeling M, McEldowney J, Weldegebriel H, Medley GF (2011). Endemic cattle diseases: comparative epidemiology and governance. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 366:1975-1986. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0396
- Daniel WW (1999). Biostatistics: A Foundation for Analysis in the Health Sciences. 7th edition. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Daves L, Yimer N, Arshad SS, Sarsaifi K, Omar AM, Yusoff R, Haron AW, Abdullah FFJ (2016). Seroprevalence of bovine viral diarrhea virus (BVDV) infection and associated risk factors in cattle in Selangor, Malaysia. Vet. Med. Open. J. 1(1):22-28. https://doi.org/10.17140/VMOJ-1-105
- Durham PJK, Hassard LE (1990). Prevalence of antibodies to infectious bovine rhinotracheitis, parainfluenza 3, bovine respiratory syncytial, and bovine viral diarrhea viruses in cattle in Saskatchewan and Alberta. Can. Vet. J. 31:815-820.
- Eiras CI, Arnaiz ML, Sanjuan E Yus, Dieguez FJ (2012). Bovine viral diarrhea virus: correlation between herd seroprevalence and bulk tank milk antibody levels using 4 commercial immunoassays. J. Vet. Diagn. Invest. 24:549-553. https://doi. org/10.1177/1040638712440984
- Gates MC, Humphry RW, Gunn GJ, Woolhouse MEJ (2014). Not all cows are epidemiology equal: quantifying the risks of bovine viral diarrhea virus (BVDV) transmission through cattle movements. Vet. Res. 45:110. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13567-014-0110-y
- ·Gohar H, Rabbani M, Ahmad A, Ahmad N, Sheikh AA, Muhammad K (2013). Detection of bovine viral diarrhea virus prevalent in dairy herds of Punjab, Pakistan. Buffalo Bull. 32:1088-1090.
- •Gong X, Liu L, Zheng F, Chen Q, Li Z, Cao X, Yin H, Zhou J, Cai X (2014). Molecular investigation of bovine viral diarrhea virus infection in yaks (Bos gruniens) from Qinghai, China. Virol. J. 11:29. https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-422X-11-29
- •Gunn GJ, Saatkamp HW, Humphry RW, Stott AW (2005). Assessing economic and social pressure for the control of bovine viral diarrhoea virus. Pre. Vet. Med. 72:149-162, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2005.08.012
- •Hemmatzadeh F, KojouriGH, Karegar P, Rouhani M(2001). Survey of bovine viral diarrhea in Chaharmahal province, Iran. J. Vet. Res. 56:85-92.
- Houe H (1994). Bovine virus diarrhoea virus: detection of Danish dairy herds with persistently infected animals by means of a screening test of ten young stocks. Prev. Vet. Med. 19:241-248 https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-5877(94)90092-
- Houe H (1999). Epidemiological features and economical importance of Bovine Viral Diarrhoea Virus (BVDV) infections. Vet. Microbiol. 64:89-107. https://doi. org/10.1016/S0378-1135(98)00262-4
- Houe H (2003). Economic impact of BVDV infection in dairies. Biologicals. 31: 137-143. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S1045-1056(03)00030-7
- Humphry RW, Brülisauer F, McKendrick IJ, Nettleton PF, Gunn GJ (2012). Prevalence of antibodies to bovine viral diarrhoea virus in bulk tank milk and associated risk factors in Scottish dairy herds. Vet. Rec. 171:445.
- Kampa J, Stahl K, Moreno-López J, Chanlun A, Aiumlamai S,



- Alenius S (2004). BVDV and BHV-1 infections in dairy herds in Northern and Northeastern Thailand.Acta. Vet. Scand. 45(3):181-192. https://doi.org/10.1186/1751-0147-45-181
- Kulangara V, Joseph A, Thrithamarassery N, Sivasailam A, Kalappurackal L, Mattappillil S, Syam R, Mapranath S (2015). Epidemiology of bovine viral diarrhoea among tropical small holder dairy units in Kerala, India. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 47:575-579. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11250-015-0766-y
- Lanyon S, Anderson M, Bergman E, Reichel MP (2014).
   Validation and evaluation of a commercially available ELISA for the detection of antibodies specific to bovine viral diarrhoea virus (BVDv) ('bovine pestivirus').
   Australian Vet. J. 91:52-56. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-0813.2012.01010.x
- Luzzago C, Bronzo V, Salvetti S, Frigerio M, Ferrari N (2010).
   Bovine respiratory syncytial virus seroprevalence and risk factors in endemic dairy cattle herds. Vet. Res. Communi. 34:19-24. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11259-009-9327-z
- Marques ALA, Assis ACO, Simões SVD, Tolentino MLDL, Azevedo SS (2016). Risk factors associated with Bovine Viral Diarrhea Virus (BVDV) infection in the semiarid of the state of Paraíba, in the northeast region of Brazil. Semina: Ciências Agrárias, Londrina, 37(5):3095-3106. https://doi.org/10.5433/1679-0359.2016v37n5p3095
- Niskanen R (1993). Relationship between the levels of antibodies to bovine viral diarrhoea virus in bulk tank milk and the prevalence of cows exposed to the virus. Vet. Rec. 133:341-344. https://doi.org/10.1136/vr.133.14.341

- Niskanen R, Lindberg A (2003). Transmission of bovine viral diarrhoea virus by unhygienic vaccination procedures, ambient air, and from contaminated pens. Vet. J. 165:125-130. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1090-0233(02)00161-2
- Niskanen R, Emanuelson U and Sundberg J, Larsson B, Alenius S (1995). Effects of bovine viral diarrhea virus on health and reproductive performance in 213 dairy herds in one country in Sweden. Pre.Vet. Med. 23:229-37. https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-5877(94)00437-N
- Sakhaee E, Khalili M, Kazeminia S (2009). Serological study of bovine viral respiratory diseases in dairy herds in Kerman province, Iran. Iran. J. Vet. Res. 10:49-53.
- SPSS (2007).Statistical Package for the Social Sciences for windows, Version 16.0. Chicago, SPSS Inc: United State of America.
- Stahl K, Alenius S (2012). BVDV control and eradication in European update. Jap. J. Vet. 60:31-39.
- Sudharshana KJ, Suresh KB, Rajasekhar M (1999). Prevalence of bovine viral diarrhea virus antibodies in India. Rev. Sci. Tech. Off. Int. Epiz. 18:667-671. https://doi.org/10.20506/ rst.18.3.1189
- Talafha AQ, Hirche SM, Ababneh MM, Al-Majali AM, Ababneh MM (2009). Prevalence and risk factors associated with bovine viral diarrhea virus infection in dairy herds in Jordan. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 41:499-506. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-008-9214-6
- Zhong F, Li N, Huang X, Guo Y, Chen H, Wang X, Shi C, Zhang X (2011). Genetic typing and epidemiologic observation of bovine viral diarrhea virus in Western China. Virus Genes. 42:204-207. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11262-010-0558-4